

ON JUST INFINITE PRO- p -GROUPS AND ARITHMETICALLY PROFINITE EXTENSIONS OF LOCAL FIELDS

Ivan Fesenko

Let F be a local field of characteristic $p > 0$ with perfect residue field k . The wild group $R = \text{Aut}_1 F$ is the group of wild continuous automorphisms $\{\sigma : (\sigma - 1)\mathcal{O}_F \subset \mathcal{M}_F^2\}$ of the local field F . A choice of a prime element t of a local field F determines an isomorphism of $\text{Aut}_1 F$ and the group of formal power series $f(t) = t + a_2 t^2 + \dots$ with coefficients from k with respect to the composition $(f \circ g)(t) = f(g(t))$. We shall write R_k for R to specify the residue field.

The group R_k plays an important role in the theory of pro- p -groups being one of the main known representatives of the class of just infinite pro- p -groups of finite width which are not p -adic analytic, see for instance [18]. The group R_k is known under various names including the Nottingham group and the Richard group.

It has been investigated by group theoretical methods (D. Johnson, I. York, A. Weiss, C. Leedham-Green, A. Weiss, A. Shalev, R. Camina, Y. Barnea, B. Klopsch) and number theoretical methods (Sh. Sen, J.-M. Fontaine, J.-P. Wintenberger, F. Laubie, J. Lubin, H.-C. Li).

In this paper we apply Fontaine–Wintenberger's theory of fields of norms to study the structure of the wild group R_k . In particular we provide a new short proof of R. Camina's theorem which says that every countably based pro- p -group (i.e. with countably many open subgroups) is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of $R_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. In sect. 6 we deal with some specific subgroups T of $R_{\mathbb{F}_p}$; they have remarkable properties, in particular the commutator subgroup is unusually small. Realizing the group T as the Galois group of arithmetically profinite extensions of p -adic fields we answer affirmatively in sect. 7 Coates–Greenberg's problem on deeply ramified extensions of local fields. In final sect. 8 we show that the wild group is not analytic over commutative complete local noetherian integral domains with finite residue field of characteristic p .

1. Let v_F be the discrete valuations of $F = k((t))$. We use simultaneously two interpretations of $R = R_k$: as formal power series $t + a_2 t^2 + \dots$ with respect to the composition and as wild automorphisms of F . When we use formal power series f, g their multiplication is denoted by $f \circ g$, when we use automorphisms σ, τ their product in R is denoted by $\sigma\tau$.

For a formal power series $f(t)$ denote $i(f(t)) = \min\{i \geq 2 : a_i \neq 0\} - 1$. Let $R_i = \{f(t) : i(f(t)) \geq i\}$.

Denote $[\sigma, \tau] = \sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}\tau^{-1}$. The following property of commutators will be often in use

$$(\circ) \quad [\sigma\tau, \rho] = [\sigma, [\tau, \rho]][\tau, \rho][\sigma, \rho].$$

As usual, we denote by dots terms of higher order. For $a, b \in k$

$$[t + at^i, t + bt^j] = t + ab(i - j)t^{i+j-1} + \dots$$

Therefore, R_i are normal subgroups of R . The group R being the projective limit of finite p -groups R/R_i is a pro- p -group. From the commutator formula one immediately deduces that $[R_n, R_m] = R_{n+m}$ if $m - n$ is relatively prime to p and $[R_n, R_m] = R_{n+m+1}$ if $m - n$ is divisible by p , see for example [7, Prop. 12]. In addition, $R_n^p \leq R_{np}$, see the first part of the proof of the Proposition in sect. 6. Thus, $[R, R] = [R, R]R^p = R_3$. The group $R_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is a pro- p -group with 2 generators, generated by any two elements of $R_2 \setminus R_3$ and $R_3 \setminus R_4$, hence by $t + t^2$ (of infinite order) and $t/(1 - t)$ (of order p).

Moreover, the group R is a so called hereditarily just infinite group: every nontrivial normal closed subgroup G of an open subgroup is open. Indeed, by the commutator formula the set $H = [t + at^{i+1} + \dots, R_j]$ has the property $R_u \leq R_{u+1}H$ for $u \geq j + i$, $(p, u - i) = 1$. Then for an odd p and sufficiently large l the group G contains some $t + at^l + \dots$ and $t + at^{l+1} + \dots$, so G contains R_w for sufficiently large w . For $p = 2$ use in addition the property $(t + at^i) \circ (t + at^i) = t + a^2t^{2i-1} + \dots$.

2. For a closed subgroup G of R put $G_i = G \cap R_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_x = G_{[x]}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote $\varphi_G(x) = \int_0^x \frac{dy}{|G:G_y|}$. The group G is called an arithmetically profinite subgroup of R if $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_G(x) = +\infty$, see [25]. If this is the case, define $\psi_G(x)$ as the inverse function to $\varphi_G(x)$ and put $G(x) = G_{\psi_G(x)}$. The points of discontinuity of the derivative of φ_G are called breaks of G ; the points of discontinuity of the derivative of ψ_G are called upper breaks of G .

A theorem of Sen [23] says that the subgroup of G generated by an element σ of infinite order is arithmetically profinite and $i(\sigma^{p^n}) \equiv i(\sigma^{p^{n-1}}) \pmod{p^n}$. For generalizations and other proofs see [27], [20], [19].

3. Let K be a local field with perfect residue field $k = k_K$ of characteristic p . A Galois extension L/K is called arithmetically profinite if the upper ramification groups $G(L/K)^x$ are open in $G(L/K)$ for every x . Equivalently, L/K is arithmetically profinite if it has finite residue field extension and the Hasse–Herbrand function $h_{L/K}(x) = \lim h_{E/K}(x)$ takes real values for all real $x \geq 0$ where E/K runs through all finite subextensions in L/K , see [28], [9, Ch. III, sect. 5].

For an infinite Galois arithmetically profinite extension L/K the field of norms $N = N(L/K)$ is the set of all norm-compatible sequences

$$\{(a_E) : a_E \in E^*, E/K \text{ is a finite subextension of } L/K\}$$

and zero, such that the multiplication is componentwise and the addition $(a_E) + (b_E) = (c_E)$ is defined as $c_E = \lim_M N_{M/E}(a_M + b_M)$ where M runs through all finite

subextension of E in L . For the properties of the fields of norms see [25], [28], [9, Ch. III, sect. 5].

In this paper with the last paragraph being excluded all Galois arithmetically profinite extensions are totally ramified p -extensions; therefore the Galois group consists of wild automorphisms only.

The field N is a local field of characteristic p with the residue field k_L and a prime element $t = (\pi_E)$ which is a sequence of norm-compatible prime elements of finite subextensions of L/K . Every automorphism τ of L over K being wild induces a wild automorphism σ of the field of norms: $\sigma((\pi_E)) = (\tau\pi_E)$.

A Galois infinite subextension of a Galois arithmetically profinite extension is arithmetically profinite. Let F/K be a Galois totally ramified p -extension and F contain L which is an arithmetically profinite extension of K . If $|F : L| < +\infty$, then F/K is an arithmetically profinite extension. The field of norms $N(L/K)$ can be identified with a subfield of $N(F/K)$; the extension $N(F/K)/N(L/K)$ is an extension of local fields. If F is a Galois extension of L , then one defines $N(F, L/K)$ as the compositum of all $N(F'/K)$ where F' runs through Galois extensions of K in F with $|F' : L| < +\infty$. One of the central theorems of the theory of fields of norms says that the absolute Galois group of $N(L/K)$ coincides with $G(N(L^{\text{sep}}, L/K)/N(L/K))$ and the latter is isomorphic to $G(L^{\text{sep}}/L)$, see [28, 3.2.2].

The functor of field of norms $W = W_{L/K}$ associates to an infinite Galois arithmetically profinite extension L/K its field of norms $N(L/K)$ and the closed arithmetically profinite subgroup G of the group $R_k = \text{Aut}_1 N(L/K)$ which is the image of the Galois group of L/K ; the upper ramification filtration $G(L/K)^x$ is mapped onto the filtration $G(x)$ of G , see [28, 3.3].

For a finite Galois totally ramified p -extension N/K of local fields of characteristic p the Galois group $G(N/K)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $R_k = \text{Aut}_1 N$. The extension F/K is arithmetically profinite if and only if $N(F, L/K)$ is an arithmetically profinite extension of $N(L/K)$; then the image of $G(N(F, L/K)/N(L/K))$ under $W_{N(F, L/K)/N(L/K)}$ in R_k coincides with the image of $G(F/L)$ as a closed subgroup in $G(F/K)$ in R_k under $W_{F/K}$, see [28, 3.2].

4. A theorem of Wintenberger ([27]) says that for every abelian closed subgroup G of the group R_k there exists a Galois arithmetically profinite extension L/K of local fields such that $W(L/K) = (k((t)), G)$.

For example, the group topologically generated by an element σ of infinite order in R comes from an arithmetically profinite $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension L/K . It is easy to deduce that the sequence $i(\sigma^{p^n})/p^n$ is increasing. Denote $pe/(p-1) = \lim i(\sigma^{p^n})/p^n$. Then either $e = +\infty$ or $e \in \mathbb{N}$. In the first case K is of positive characteristic, in the second case K is of characteristic 0 and its absolute ramification index is e . By the proposition in sect. 6 $e(\tau) = pi$ is finite for $\tau(t) = t + t^{1+pi}$.

An observation due to Fontaine [11] is that $e = +\infty$ if and only if σ belongs to the topological closure of the torsion (the set of torsion elements) of R . Indeed, as-

sume that the group G topologically generated by σ comes from a Galois arithmetically profinite extension L/K of fields of characteristic p with a generator τ . Let K_n be the subextension of L of degree p^n over K . Map K_n isomorphically onto N by sending a prime element π_{K_n} of a norm-compatible sequence of prime elements of finite subextensions in L/K to t . Let $N_n \subset N$ be the image of K under this homomorphism, and let σ_n (of order p^n) be the image of τ . Then $i(\sigma\sigma_n^{-1})$ tends to $+\infty$ when n grows. Conversely, if σ is the limit of a sequence of automorphisms σ_n of finite order, then the upper breaks u_i of $G = \overline{(\sigma)}$ satisfy $u_{i+1} \geq u_i^p$ (see for instance [17]), therefore $e = +\infty$.

Wintenberger and Laubie studied p -adic Lie subgroups in R which are in the image of the functor W , see [25], [26], [16].

5. The wild group R is not p -adic analytic, since for instance for every n relatively prime to p there is $\sigma \in R_n \setminus R_{n+1}$ such that $\sigma^p = e$ (it suffices to observe that given a natural number relatively prime to p there a cyclic totally ramified extension of degree p of a local field of characteristic p with the ramification break equal to that number). Another way to argue is to use the property of p -adic analytic groups to contain an open subgroup of finite rank (i.e. an open subgroup for which the supremum of the number of generators of its closed subgroups is not infinity), see [6, Cor. 9.36]). The group R doesn't contain an open subgroup of finite rank, since the number of generators of R_i tends to infinity when i tends to infinity.

For more properties of R see Remarks 1, 2 in sect. 6.

Proposition. *Let G be a countably based pro- p -group. Then there exists a Galois arithmetically profinite extension L of $\mathbb{F}_p((X))$ such that $G(L/\mathbb{F}_p((X)))$ is isomorphic to G .*

Proof. Let $G = \varprojlim G_i$ where G_i are finite pro- p -groups and $|G_{i+1} : G_i| = p$. Assume that G_i is isomorphic to $G(K_i/\mathbb{F}_p((X)))$. It is well known that the pro- p -part of the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{F}_p((X))$ is a free countably generated pro- p -group. Hence the imbedding problem

$$(G_{i+1} \rightarrow G_i = G(K_i/\mathbb{F}_p((X))))$$

has a solution $K_i(\beta)$ with $\beta^p - \beta = \alpha \in K_i$, see for instance [12, Th.1']. Following the method of Camina [3] replace α by $\alpha_1 = \alpha + c$ with $c \in \mathbb{F}_p((X))$. Then $K_{i+1} = K_i(\beta_1)$ with $(\beta_1)^p - \beta_1 = \alpha_1$ is a solution of the same imbedding problem and the ramification break of K_{i+1}/K_i can be made arbitrarily large by choosing c with $v_{\mathbb{F}_p((X))}(c)$ being sufficiently negative and relatively prime to p . Therefore one can construct an arithmetically profinite extension $L/\mathbb{F}_p((X))$ as desired. $\square$

Corollary 1 (Camina). *Every countably based pro- p -group is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of $R_{\mathbb{F}_p}$.*

Proof. Apply the functor W to the extension $L/\mathbb{F}_p((X))$. $\square$

Remark 1. According to the proof given in this paper every countably based pro- p -group is isomorphic to infinitely many different closed arithmetically profinite subgroups in the closure of the torsion of $R_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Note that if $\tau \in G(L/\mathbb{F}_p((X)))$ is of infinite order, then the fixed field L_τ of τ is an arithmetically profinite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p((X))$ and the image of τ in $R_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ can be identified with the image of $\tau \in G(N(L, L_\tau/\mathbb{F}_p((X)))/N(L_\tau/\mathbb{F}_p((X))))$ in $R_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. The latter belongs to the closure of the torsion of $R_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ as was indicated in the previous section. Varying the set of upper ramification breaks as in the proposition every infinite countably based pro- p -group can be embedded in infinitely many ways into R ; the images have different sets of breaks.

Remark 2. In Camina's proof every finitely generated pro- p -group is realized as the Galois group of a totally ramified p -extension with specific properties of its ramification breaks, then it is embedded into $R_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ (actually in the closure of the torsion). Then Lubotzky–Wilson's theorem is applied (see Corollary 2) to handle the general case of a countably based pro- p -group. One can use Example 2.4 of [8] to show that the closed subgroups of R given by Camina's construction are not in general arithmetically profinite subgroups of R .

Remark 3. In discussions with D. Segal and B. Klopsch we have observed that every closed subgroup G of R which is in the image of the functor of fields of norms has Hausdorff dimension (for the definition see [1]) equal to zero. Indeed, the non-decreasing sequence of the set of breaks (s_i) of G satisfies $\sum (s_i - s_{i_1})/p^i = +\infty$, hence $\liminf i/s_i = 0$. Closed subgroups of R produced in Camina's construction have Hausdorff dimension zero as well.

The closure of the torsion of R is different from R , since every automorphism with finite e (see the previous section) doesn't belong to the closure of the torsion of R (by the way, the closure of the group generated by the torsion of R coincides with R for $p > 2$). The same arguments as in the proof of Corollary 1 show that every closed non-pro- p -cyclic subgroup of R which is in the image of W is inside the closure of the torsion of R . Hence there is an infinite chain of closed subgroups of R : $G_1 = R > G_2 > \dots$ such that all G_i are isomorphic to each other and each next is contained in the closure of the torsion of the previous one.

Corollary 2 (Lubotzky–Wilson). *There is a pro- p -group with 2 generators which contains as a closed subgroup every countably based pro- p -group.*

Proof. The group $R_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ does. $\square$

Problem. *Given a free pro- p -group G with finite number of generators does there exist a Galois arithmetically profinite extension L of K , $|K : \mathbb{Q}_p| < +\infty$, such that $G(L/K)$ is isomorphic to G ?*

The affirmative answer will imply that for every finitely generated pro- p -group G there is a closed subgroup inside the group $R_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ isomorphic to G which comes via the functor of fields of norms from a Galois arithmetically profinite extension of local number fields.

We will show in the next section that this is true for specific closed subgroups T of R which are different from pro- p -cyclic groups.

6. For $m \geq 2$ define the following closed subgroups in the wild group $R = R_{\mathbb{F}_p}$

$$S_m = \left\{ \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i t^{1+mi} : a_0 = 1, a_i \in \mathbb{F}_p \right\}.$$

For m relatively prime to p the group of principal units $1 + t^m \mathbb{F}_p[[t^m]]$ is uniquely m -divisible, therefore one can associate to an element $\sigma \in R$ considered as a wild automorphism of $\mathbb{F}_p((t^m))$: $\sigma(t^m) = t^m f(t^m)$ with $f(t) \in 1 + t\mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$ an automorphism $\tau \in S_m$ considered as a wild automorphism of $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$: $\tau(t) = t \sqrt[m]{f(t^m)}$. Hence S_m is isomorphic to R and S_{mp^r} is isomorphic to S_{p^r} .

For $r \geq 1$ denote $q = p^r$, $T = S_q$ and put $T_i = \{f(t) \in T : f(t) \in t + t^{1+qi} \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]\}$.

Proposition.

(1) If $\sigma \in T_i \setminus T_{i+1}$ then $\sigma^p \in T_{pi} \setminus T_{pi+1}$; the intersection of T with the closure of the torsion of R is trivial.

(2) $[T_i, T_i] \leq T_{(q+1)i+1}$, the group $T_i/T_{(q+1)i}$ is abelian of exponent pq . A nontrivial normal closed subgroup of an open subgroup of T is open (T is hereditarily just infinite).

(3) $[T, T]T^p > T_{q+2}$ and T has not more than q generators.

(4) T is not p -adic analytic.

Proof.

(1) For $\alpha \in F = \mathbb{F}_p((t))$ one has $v_F(\alpha^\sigma - \alpha) \geq v_F(\alpha) + i(\sigma)$ with equality when $v_F(\alpha)$ is relatively prime to p . Therefore

$$i(\sigma^p) = v_F((\sigma^p - 1)(t)) - 1 = v_F((\sigma - 1)^p(t)) - 1 \geq pi(\sigma),$$

hence $R_n^p \leq R_{np}$ and $i(\sigma^p) = v_F((\sigma - 1)^p(t)) - 1 = pi(\sigma)$ for $i(\sigma) = 1 + qi$.

(2) The proof of this part is rather lengthy.

Lemma 1. Let $j = \bar{j}p^n$, $i = \bar{i}p^m$ with integers $\bar{i}, \bar{j}$ relatively prime to p .

Let $o \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $i + j, 2i$ are equal or greater than o . Then for $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$

$$\begin{aligned} [t + at^{1+qj}, t + bt^{1+qi}] &\equiv t + \bar{j}abt^{1+qj+q^2ip^n}/(1 + at^{qj}) \\ &- bt^{1+qi} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\bar{i}} \binom{\bar{i}}{l} a^l (t^{q^2lj p^m} / (1 + at^{q^3j})^{lj p^m}) \right) / ((1 + bt^{qi})(1 + at^{q^2j})^i) \pmod{t^{1+q^2o+q} \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $g(t) = (t + at^{1+qj}) \circ (t + bt^{1+qi}) - (t + bt^{1+qi}) \circ (t + at^{1+qj})$. Then

$$g(t) = at^{1+qj}(1 + bt^{qi}) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\bar{j}} \binom{\bar{j}}{l} b^l t^{lq^2ip^n} \right) - bt^{1+qi}(1 + at^{qj}) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\bar{i}} \binom{\bar{i}}{l} a^l t^{lq^2jp^m} \right).$$

Denote by $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ the inverse series in T to $t + at^{1+qj}$ and $t + bt^{1+qi}$ respectively. Note that for $f_1(t) \in 1 + t^q \mathbb{F}_p[[t^q]]$, $f_2(t) \in 1 + t^l \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$

$$(\nabla) \quad (tf_1(t)) \circ (tf_2(t)) \equiv tf_1(t)f_2(t) \pmod{t^{1+q(l+1)} \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t) &\equiv t/(1 + at^{qj}) \pmod{t^{q^2j} \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]}, & \beta(t) &\equiv t/(1 + bt^{qi}) \pmod{t^{q^2i} \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]}, \\ (\beta \circ \alpha)(t) &\equiv \alpha(t)/(1 + bt^{qi}) \equiv t/((1 + bt^{qi})(1 + at^{qj})) \pmod{t^{q^2j} \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]}, \\ (\alpha \circ \beta)(t) &\equiv \alpha(t)/(1 + bt^{qi}) \pmod{t^{q^2i} \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the conditions on i, j, o the series $g \circ \alpha \circ \beta$ is congruent $\pmod{t^{1+q^2o+q} \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]}$ to

$$\begin{aligned} &(at^{qj} \bar{j} bt^{q^2ip^n} (t + bt^{1+qi})) \circ (\alpha(t)/(1 + bt^{qi})) \\ &- (bt^{qi} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\bar{i}} \binom{\bar{i}}{l} a^l t^{lq^2jp^m} \right) (t + at^{1+qj})) \circ (\alpha(t)/(1 + bt^{qi})) \\ &\equiv \bar{j} abt^{qj+q^2ip^n} \alpha(t) - b\alpha(t)^{qi} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\bar{i}} \binom{\bar{i}}{l} a^l (t/(1 + at^{1+qj}))^{lq^2jp^m} \right) \beta(t) \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows. $\square$

Remark. If $i \geq j$, one can take $i + j$ as o . If a pair (i, j) satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1, then so does every pair (i', j') with $i' \geq i$, $i' + j' \geq i + j$.

Now it is clear that $[t + at^{1+qj}, t + bt^{1+qi}]$ for $i > j$ belongs to $t + t^{1+q(i+qj)} \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$ and $[T_i, T_i] \leq T_{(q+1)i+1}$. Then (1) implies that $T_i/T_{(q+1)i}$ is abelian of exponent pq .

Lemma 2. Let $p > 2$. Fix s satisfying $1 \leq s \leq r$. Let $i > j \geq q^2$ and i be relatively prime to p . Let the set X consist of pairs (i_m, j_m) of the following type:

$$i_m \geq i, j_m \geq j - q;$$

i_m is relatively prime to p ;

$$j_m \geq j \text{ if } i_m = i; qj_m + p^s i_m > qj + p^s i \text{ if } i_m > i;$$

$$(\diamond) \quad \text{if } i_m + qj_m < j + qi, \text{ then } i_m = u_m i + v_m q \text{ with integers } u_m \geq 1, v_m \geq 0.$$

Put $j_m = \bar{j}_m p^{n(j_m)}$ where $\bar{j}_m$ is relatively prime to p . Let c_m, d_m, e_m, f_m be non-negative integers such that $d_m > 0$ iff $c_m > 0$ and $f_m > 0$ iff $e_m > 0$.

Then the equality

$$\sum_{(i_m, j_m) \in X} (c_m i_m + q d_m j_m + e_m \bar{j}_m + q f_m i_m p^{n(j_m)}) = k + qj, \quad p^{s-1} i < k \leq p^s i, p^s | k$$

implies that up to reordering terms (1) $k = p^s i$; (2) if $p^s < q$ then $c_1 = p^s, d_1 = 1, i_1 = i, j_1 = j$, and all e_m, f_m are zero; (3) if $p^s = q$, then either $c_1 = q, d_1 = 1, i_1 = i, j_1 = j$, and all e_m, f_m are zero or $e_1 = q, f_1 = 1, i_1 = i, j_1 = j$ and all c_m, d_m are zero.

Proof. Let not all c_m be zero. Since $i_m + qj_m > qj$, we have $\sum (c_m i_m + q d_m j_m) = k + qj$. If, say, $c_1 i_1 + q d_1 j_1 \geq j + qi$, then $c_1 i_1 + q d_1 j_1 = k + qj$, $p^s | c_1$ and $c_1 i_1 + q d_1 j_1 \geq$

$p^s i_1 + qj_1$ (which is $> p^s i + qj$ if $i_1 \neq i$). Hence $i_1 = i, j_1 = j, c_1 = p^s, d_1 = 1, k = p^s i$. If all $c_m i_m + qd_m j_m$ are smaller than $j + qi$, then $\sum c_m i_m = (\sum c_m u_m)i + (\sum c_m v_m)q$, p^s divides $\sum c_m u_m$ and $\sum c_m i_m \geq p^s i$ by $(\diamond)$. Then $k + qj \geq p^s i + q(\sum d_m j_m)$. Since $2j_m > j$, we deduce that, say, $d_1 = 1, d_m = 0$ for $m \neq 1$. Then $c_1 i_1 + qj_1 = k + qj$. Since p^s divides c_1 , $c_1 i_1 + qj_1 \geq p^s i_1 + qj_1$, and the latter is $> p^s i + qj$ whenever $i_1 \neq i$. Hence $i_1 = i, j_1 = j, c_1 = p^s, k = p^s i$.

Let all c_m be zero. Then, say, $f_1 = 1$ and $f_m = 0$ for $m \neq 1$. From $e_1 j_1 + q i_1 p^{n(j_1)} = k + qj$ it follows that $n(j_1) = 0$ and p^s divides e_1 . Then $k + qj \geq p^s j_1 + q i_1$, therefore $j_1 < i_1$. In addition $p^s j_1 + q i_1 > p^s i_1 + qj_1$ if $p^s < q$, and $p^s i_1 + qj_1 > p^s i + qj$ if $i_1 \neq i$. Therefore, $i_1 = i, j_1 = j, e_1 = p^s = q, k = qi$. $\square$

From the previous Lemmas and formula $(\circ)$ of sect. 1 we deduce the following

Remark. Let i, j be as in Lemma 2. For i relatively prime to p and $1 \leq s < r$ the coefficient of $t^{1+q(p^s i + qj)}$ in $[t + at^{1+qj} + \dots, t + bt^{1+qi}]$ is equal to $-iab$ and the coefficient of $t^{1+q^2(i+j)}$ is equal to $(j - i)ab$.

Now let H be a nontrivial closed normal subgroup of an open subgroup G of T . We say that a natural number l belongs to H if $T_l \leq T_{l+1}H$. From what has been proved it follows that given $n \geq 0$ there is l_n such that all $p^n l \geq l_n$ with l relatively prime to p belong to H .

Let $j \geq \max(l_0 + q, q^2)$, $i > j$, and let $i, i - j$ be relatively prime to p . Let $T_i \leq G$.

We shall use Lemma 1, Lemma 2, two previous Remarks and formula $(\circ)$ of sect. 1 in the following arguments.

Let $\theta(t) = t + at^{1+qj} + \dots \in H$. Then

$$\omega_0(i, j, i + qj) = [\theta(t), t + t^{1+qi}] \equiv t + \sum_{i_m \geq i} w_{i_m} t^{1+q(qj+i_m)} \pmod{t^{1+q^2(i+j)+q} \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]}$$

where (i_m, j) satisfy $(\diamond)$ and $w_{p^s i} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq s \leq r$. Let $\rho(t) = t + at^{1+q(j-p)} + \dots \in H$. Then using (∇) we deduce that for appropriate $c \in \mathbb{F}_p$

$$[\theta(t), t + t^{1+qi}][\rho(t), t + ct^{1+q(i+qp)}] \equiv t + \sum_{i_m > i} x_{i_m} t^{1+q(qj+i_m)} \pmod{t^{1+q^2(i+j)+q} \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]}$$

where (i_m, j) satisfy $(\diamond)$ and $x_{p^s i} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq s \leq r$.

To deal with $t + t^{1+q(qj+i_m)}$ where $p^{s-1}i < i_m < p^s i$, $i_m = p^n \bar{i}_m$ and $\bar{i}_m > i$ is relatively prime to p note that by Lemma 2 and induction $0 \leq n \leq s - 1$. Then use appropriate $\omega_n(\bar{i}_m, j, i_m + qj)$ for $i_m + qj \geq j + qi$ and $\omega_n(u_m p^{-n}i, j + v_m, i_m + qj)$ for $i_m + qj < j + qi$, $i_m = u_m i + v_m q$. Hence in H there is an element

$$\omega_s(i, j, p^s i + qj) \equiv t + \sum_{i_m \geq p^s i} y_{i_m} t^{1+q(qj+i_m)} \pmod{t^{1+q^2(i+j)+q} \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]}$$

where (i_m, j) satisfy $(\diamond)$ and $y_{p^l i} \neq 0$ for $s \leq l \leq r$. To eliminate $t + t^{1+q(qj+p^s i)}$ if $s < r$ multiply $\omega_s(i, j, p^s i + qj)$ by appropriate power of $\omega_s(i + q, j - p^s, p^s i + qj)$.

We conclude that the numbers $p^s i + q^2 j$ for $1 \leq s \leq r$ belong to H . Varying i for the given j , then passing to a greater j' such that the pair (i', j') satisfies the conditions above and varying i' we conclude that all sufficiently large l belong to H . Since H is closed, T_l is contained in H for sufficiently large l and H is open.

(3) Note that if $i > j$ and i is relatively prime to p , then $[t + at^{1+qj}, t + bt^{1+qi}] = t - iabt^{1+qi+q^2j} + \dots$. Therefore $T_l \leq T_{l+1}[T, T]$ for all $l \geq q + 2$ relatively prime to p . By (1) $T_{pi} \leq T_{pi+1}T^p$ and the assertion follows.

(4) The group T doesn't contain an open subgroup of finite rank, since the cardinality of $T_i/[T_i, T_i]T_i^p$ tends to infinity when i tends to infinity. Alternatively, if T were a p -adic analytic group, then by [6, Th. 9.34] it would contain an open subgroup G which is a uniformly powerful pro- p -group, so in particular $G^p = \{g^p : g \in G\}$ would be a subgroup of $[G, G]$, see [6, Prop. 2.6]. However, the subgroup $[G, G]$ contains some elements $t + t^{1+qi} + \dots$ with i relatively prime to p which are obviously not in G^p . $\square$

Remark 1. Using the commutator formula of Lemma 1 one can show that T has finite width, i.e. the orders of terms of the quotient filtration of the lower central series filtration of T are uniformly bounded (see [18]).

Proof. Let $\gamma_i(T) = [T, \gamma_{i-1}(T)]$ and $\gamma_1(T) = T$. From the formula of Lemma 1 it follows that (1) the minimal natural number which belongs to $\gamma_i(T)$ is $2 + (i - 1)q$; all greater natural numbers relatively prime to p belong to $\gamma_i(T)$; (2) if l belongs to $\gamma_{i+1}(T)$ and l is divisible by q , then $l \geq q(3 + (i - 1)q)$; all $l \geq (5 + (i - 1)q)q$ divisible by q belong to $\gamma_{i+1}(T)$; (3) if l belongs to $\gamma_i(T)$ and l is not divisible by q , then $l + q^2$ belongs to $\gamma_{i+1}(T)$.

Therefore the width of T which is equal to $\sup \log_p |\gamma_i(T) : \gamma_{i+1}(T)|$ is not greater than $q^2 + 2$.

Remark 2. Let $(A, \mathcal{M})$ be a commutative complete local noetherian integral domain with finite residue field of characteristic p . For the theory of analytic groups over A see [21], [27, Part IV]. Using the commutator formulas of Lemma 1 one can show that the wild group R is not analytic over A , see [10].

7. Now let $q = p^r > p$ and F be an unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree $\geq q$. Let L/F be a Galois totally ramified extension with the Galois group isomorphic to T (it exists, since according to Shafarevich's theorem the pro- p -part of the absolute Galois group of F is a free pro- p -group with $|F : \mathbb{Q}_p| + 1$ generators).

A Galois extension L/F is called deeply ramified if the set of its upper ramification breaks is unbounded (see [5] and some additional information in [8]).

Theorem.

- (1) *The extension L/F is deeply ramified.*
- (2) *The extension L/F is arithmetically profinite; if $F \subset K \subset E \subset L$ with finite K/F and infinite Galois E/K , then $G(E/K)$ is not p -adic analytic.*

Proof. (1) (inspired by Sen's proof [24] of Serre's conjecture on the ramification filtration of p -adic analytic extensions of local number fields)

Denote by F_i the fixed field of T_i . For a finite Galois extension E/K and an automorphism $\sigma \neq 1$ of E/K denote by $t_K(\sigma)$ the maximal rational number x such that σ doesn't belong to the upper ramification group $G(E/K)^v$ for every $v > x$. Denote by $u(E/K) = \max\{t_K(\sigma) : \sigma \in G(E/K), \sigma \neq 1\}$ its maximal upper ramification break (see [9, Ch. III, sect. 5]). Due to local class field theory for a finite totally ramified abelian p -extension M/K the number $u(M/K)$ is equal to the maximal integer n such that $U_{n+1,K} N_{M/K} U_{1,M}$ doesn't contain the n th group of principal units $U_{n,K}$ of K .

We shall work with abelian extension inside L/F . Note that L doesn't contain nontrivial p th roots of unity. Following Sen [24] we say that the abelian extension M/K is not small if $u(M/K) \geq pe(K)/(p-1)$ where $e(K)$ is the absolute ramification index of K . Then, due to local class field theory there is an automorphism $\sigma \in G(M/K)$ such that $t_K(\sigma) \geq e(K)/(p-1)$ and for every automorphism with this property we get $t_K(\sigma^p) = t_K(\sigma) + e(K)$.

The extension $F_{(q+1)i}/F_i$ is abelian. Denote $i' = \lceil (k(q+1)/p) \rceil$. Then due to property (1) of the proposition the group $G(F_{(q+1)i}/F_{i'})$ has exponent p and each automorphism of $F_{(q+1)i}/F_i$ which is nontrivial on $F_{i'}$ is of exponent at least p^2 .

Suppose that $F_{(q+1)i}/F_i$ is not small. Then there is σ in $G(F_{(q+1)i}/F_i)$ satisfying the property

$$(*) \quad t_{F_i}(\sigma^p) = t_{F_i}(\sigma) + e(F_i).$$

Note that if $\sigma^{p^2} \neq 1$ in $G(F_{(q+1)i}/F_i)$, then σ^p satisfies (*); if $1 \neq \tau \in G(F_{(q+1)i}/F_i)$ with $t_{F_i}(\tau) > t_{F_i}(\sigma^p)$, then $t_{F_i}(\tau) > pe(F_i)/(p-1)$ and hence $\tau = \rho^p$ for some $\rho \in G(F_{(q+1)i}/F_i)$ and ρ satisfies (*).

Let $1 \neq \sigma \in G(F_{(q+1)i}/F_i)$ be such that $u(F_{(q+1)i}/F_i) = t_{F_i}(\sigma^p) = t_{F_i}(\sigma) + e(F_i)$ and such that σ acts trivially on F_k with the maximal possible integer $k = k(i)$. We get $i' > k \geq i'/p > i$. Denote $\sigma_k = \sigma$. Since $t_{F_i}(\sigma_k) \geq t_{F_i}(\tau)$ for every τ which acts nontrivially on $F_{i'}$, we deduce that $t_{F_i}(\sigma_k) = u(F_{k+1}/F_i)$ and therefore $t_{F_k}(\sigma_k^p) = t_{F_k}(\sigma_k) + e(F_k)$.

Since $t_{F_k}(\sigma_k^p) > e(F_k)/(p-1)$, and $\sigma_k^{p^2}$ is nontrivial in $G(F_{(q+1)k}/F_k)$, we deduce that $t_{F_k}(\sigma_k^{p^2}) = t_{F_k}(\sigma_k^p) + e(F_k)$ and the extension $F_{(q+1)k}/F_k$ is not small.

Start with the extension F_{q+1}/F_1 which is abelian of exponent p^3 . Since $e(F_1) = 1$, raising to the p th power maps U_{i,F_1} onto U_{i+1,F_1} , therefore $u(F_{q+1}/F_1) \geq 3$ and F_{q+1}/F_1 is not small. Put $k_1 = k(1) \geq q/p^2 + 1$, $k_{n+1} = k(k_n) > k_n$. Then $t_F(\sigma_{k_{n+1}}) \geq t_F(\sigma_{k_n}^p) \geq t_F(\sigma_{k_n}) + e(F)$. Thus, $q(F_{k_n}/F)$ tends to $+\infty$ when n tends to $+\infty$, hence L/F is deeply ramified.

(2) The extension L/F is arithmetically profinite: every $G(L/F)^x$ is a nontrivial normal closed subgroup of T , therefore is open of finite index by property (2) of the proposition. Normal extensions E of K in L are either finite over K or coincide with L and therefore $G(E/K)$ is not p -adic analytic by the previous proposition. $\square$

J. Coates and R. Greenberg in [5, p. 144] stated the following problem: is it true that for every finite extension K of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ there exists a deeply ramified Galois p -extension

M of K such that that no subfield M' of M is an infinite Galois extension of a finite extension Q of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with $G(M'/Q)$ being p -adic analytic.

Using the previous theorem one can provide the affirmative answer on Coates–Greenberg’s problem by taking the normal closure of KL over K as M . Indeed, then M/K is deeply ramified. Note that M is the compositum of a finite number of fields $\sigma(KL)$ and denote the compositum of all of them with KL excluded by N . If $KL \not\subseteq N$, then $KL \cap N$ is of finite degree over KF , since $G(KL/KF)$ is hereditarily just infinite. If there is a subfield M' of M which is a Galois extension of a finite extension Q of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with $G(M'/Q)$ being p -adic analytic, then, since $QKL \cap M'$ is of finite degree over QKF ($G(QKL/QKF)$ is hereditarily just infinite), the group $G(M'KL/QKL)$ is isomorphic to $G(M'/M' \cap QKL)$ is an infinite p -adic analytic group, so $G(LN/L)$ has an open subgroup which has an infinite p -adic analytic quotient. Since $N \cap L$ is of finite degree over F , $G(N/F)$ has an open subgroup which has an infinite p -adic analytic quotient. Eventually we deduce that $G(L/F)$ has an open subgroup which has an infinite p -adic analytic quotient, a contradiction.

Thus, $G(M/K)$ doesn’t have open subgroups which have infinite p -adic analytic quotients and M is an arithmetically profinite p -extension of K .

The affirmative answer on the problem stated in sect. 4 implies that one can realize the Nottingham group as the Galois group of an arithmetically profinite extension of a local number field, therefore providing another extension L/F which has all the properties of the theorem.

8. Let $(A, \mathcal{M})$ be a commutative complete local noetherian integral domain with finite residue field of characteristic p . Let $\nu(\alpha) = \min\{i : \alpha \in \mathcal{M}^i\}$.

Let $F = F(X, Y) = X +_F Y$ be a formal noncommutative group law over A of dimension d and $F((\mathcal{M})^d)$ the group of formal points associated with F . Let

$$X +_F Y +_F -_F X -_F Y = \sum_{|J|+|K| \geq 1} (c_{J,K}^{(i)} X^J Y^K)_{1 \leq i \leq d}$$

with the usual notations for multi-indices.

Let $m = \min\{|J| + |K| : c_{J,K}^{(i)} \neq 0 \text{ for some } i\}$.

Let $j' = \max\{|J| : c_{J,K}^{(i)} \neq 0 \text{ for some } i, |J| + |K| = m\}$. Let (J', K') be the maximal with respect to the lexicographical order (the first component is the most respected) multi-index such that $|J'| = j'$, $|K'| = m - j'$ and $c_{J',K'}^{(i)} \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq d$. Let i_0 be the minimal index such that $c_{J',K'}^{(i_0)} \neq 0$. Denote $s = \nu(c_{J',K'}^{(i_0)})$.

Denote by B_n the set of vectors $(b_1, \dots, b_d)$ of length d satisfying $\nu(b_i) \geq n + i(s+2)$. Then $F(B_n)$ is an open subgroup of $F((\mathcal{M})^d)$ for $n > (d-2)(s+2)$.

Lemma. *Let $c > d(s+2)$ and $n > m(c+d(s+2))$. Then for every $\alpha \in F(B_n) \setminus F(B_{n+1})$, $\beta \in F(B_{n+c}) \setminus F(B_{n+c+1})$*

$$[\alpha, \beta] = \alpha +_F \beta -_F \alpha -_F \beta \notin F(B_{2+s+m(c+d(s+2))+mn}).$$

Proof. Indeed, $\nu(c_{J',K'}^{(i_0)} \alpha^{J'} \beta^{K'}) = s + J'A + K'B \leq s + m(n + c + d(s + 2) + 1)$ where $A = (\nu(a_1), \dots, \nu(a_d))$ for $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$, B for β is defined similarly.

If $|J| = j', |K| = m - j'$ and $(J, K) < (J', K')$ then

$$\nu(c_{J,K}^{(i_0)} \alpha^J \beta^K) \geq s + 1 + J'A + K'B > \nu(c_{J',K'}^{(i_0)} \alpha^{J'} \beta^{K'}).$$

If $m = |J| + |K|$ and $|J| < j'$, then

$$\nu(c_{J,K}^{(i_0)} \alpha^J \beta^K) \geq c - (d - 1)(s + 2) - 1 + J'A + K'B > \nu(c_{J',K'}^{(i_0)} \alpha^{J'} \beta^{K'}).$$

If $m < |J| + |K|$, then for appropriate J'', K'' with $|J''| + |K''| = m$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(c_{J,K}^{(i_0)} \alpha^J \beta^K) &\geq n + s + 2 + J''A + K''B \geq (n + s + 2)(1 + m) \\ &> s + m(n + c + d(s + 2) + 1) \geq s + J'A + K'B. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved.

Proposition. *The wild group R is not A -analytic.*

Proof. Assume that R is A -analytic and get a contradiction. Let F be the corresponding formal group of dimension d and let R contain $F(B_o)$ as an open subgroup, see [7, Th. 22]. Since R_i/R_{2i} is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{F}_p)^i$, one can choose some of R_j for a new filtration $F(B_o) \geq W_1 \supset W_2 \supset \dots$ such that the quotient groups W_i/W_{i+1} are abelian of the same cardinality as $(A/\mathcal{M})^d$.

The wild group is finitely generated, therefore the topology $\{F(B_i)\}$ is equivalent to the topology W_j and so there is z such that $W_1 \geq F(B_z)$. Then every $F(B_i)$ for $i \geq z$ is normal in W_1 .

It is easy to check that if $W_1 \geq R_v$ then $(R_j \setminus R_{j+1}) \cap H \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow H \geq R_{j+v+2p}$. From here one deduces that there is $u \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every normal subgroup H of W_1

$$H \cap (W_j \setminus W_{j+1}) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow H \geq W_{j+u}.$$

Let $j_1(i) = \min\{j : (W_j \setminus W_{j+1}) \cap (F(B_i) \setminus F(B_{i+1})) \neq \emptyset\}$. Then

$$(1) \quad W_{j_1(i)+u} \leq F(B_i) \quad \text{for } i \gg 0.$$

The sequence $j_1(i)$ is non-decreasing.

Let $j_2(i) = \max\{j : (W_j \setminus W_{j+1}) \cap (F(B_i) \setminus F(B_{i+1})) \neq \emptyset\}$. Then

$$(2) \quad j_1(i) \leq j_2(i) \leq j_1(i + 1) + u \quad \text{for } i \gg 0.$$

If $j_1(i) > 1 + (i - o)$, then $F(B_i) \leq W_{i-o+2}$ and the index of $F(B_i)$ in $F(B_o)$ is smaller than that of W_{i+o-2} in W_1 , a contradiction. Hence by (1)

$$(3) \quad j_1(i) \leq 1 + i - o \quad \text{and} \quad W_{1+i-o+u} \leq F(B_i) \quad \text{for } i \gg 0.$$

If $W_j \leq F(B_i)$, then $j - 1 \geq i - z$, hence $W_{i-z} \cap (F(B_o) \setminus F(B_i)) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\alpha \in (F(B_{i'}) \setminus F(B_{i'+1})) \cap W_{i-z}$ for some $i' < i$. Then $j_2(i') \geq i - z$ and by (2) $j_1(i) + u \geq j_1(i' + 1) + u \geq j_2(i')$, hence $j_1(i) \geq i - z - u$.

Thus,

$$(4) \quad i - z - u \leq j_1(i) \leq j_2(i) \leq j_1(i + 1) + u \leq 2 + i - o + u \quad \text{for } i \gg 0.$$

Let $\alpha \in (W_j \setminus W_{j+1}) \cap (F(B_n) \setminus F(B_{n+1}))$ and $\beta \in (W_{j+g} \setminus W_{j+g+1}) \cap (F(B_{n+c}) \setminus F(B_{n+c+1}))$. Then by (4)

$$(5) \quad j + o - u - 2 \leq n \leq j + z + u, \quad j + g + o - u - 2 \leq n + c \leq j + g + z + u.$$

Hence

$$(6) \quad g - 2u + o - z - 2 \leq c \leq g + 2u + z - o + 2.$$

Choose $q = p^r$ and i sufficiently large such that

$$j \geq 3 - o + u + s + m(g + 3u + 2z - o + d(s + 2) + 2), g - 2u + o - z > d(s + 2) + 2$$

and $\alpha = t + t^{1+qi} \in W_j \setminus W_{j+1}$, $\beta = t + t^{1+qi+q} \in W_{j+g} \setminus W_{j+g+1}$, $R_{1+q^2i+q(i+1)} \leq W_{(m+1)j}$. Then $c > d(s + 2)$ by (6) and $n \geq j + o - u - 2 > m(g + 2u + z - o + 2 + d(s + 2)) \geq m(c + d(s + 2))$, $(m + 1)j - 1 + o - u \geq 2 + s + m(g + 2u + z - o + 2 + d(s + 2) + j + z + u) \geq 2 + s + m(c + d(s + 2) + n)$ by (5). According to sect. 6 we get $[t + t^{1+qi}, t + t^{1+q(i+1)}] \in R_{1+q^2i+q(i+1)} \leq W_{(m+1)j}$ and the latter is a subgroup of $F(B_{(m+1)j-1+o-u}) \leq F(B_{2+s+m(c+d(s+2)+n)})$ by (3).

Thus, there are

$$\alpha \in W_n \setminus W_{n+1}, \beta \in W_{n+c} \setminus W_{n+c+1}, \quad \text{such that} \quad [\alpha, \beta] \in F(B_{2+s+m(c+d(s+2)+n)}).$$

That contradicts the property of A -analytic groups in the lemma.

References

- [1] Barnea Y., Shalev, A.: Hausdorff dimension, pro- p -groups, and Kac–Moody algebras. To appear in Trans. of the AMS, 1997
- [2] Barnea Y.: The spectrum of the Nottingham group. Preprint, Jerusalem 1997
- [3] Camina, R.: Subgroups of the Nottingham group. J. Algebra **196**, 101–113(1997)

- [4] Cartwright, D.: Pro- p -groups and Lie algebras over local fields of characteristic p . Ph. D. Thesis, QMW, London 1997
- [5] Coates, J., Greenberg, R.: Kummer theory for abelian varieties over local fields. *Invent. Math.* **124**, 129–174(1996)
- [6] Dixon, J.D., du Sautoy, M.P.F., Mann, A., Segal, D.: Analytic pro- p -groups. LMS Lect. Notes Series **157** Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1991
- [7] du Sautoy, M.P.F.: Pro- p -Groups. Preprint, Cambridge 1997
- [8] Fesenko, I.: On deeply ramified extensions. Preprint, Heidelberg 1995
- [9] Fesenko, I., Vostokov, S.: Local Fields and Their Extensions. AMS, Providence, R.I. 1993
- [10] Fesenko, I. B.: The wild group is not analytic over complete local noetherian rings with finite residue field. <http://www.maths.nott.ac.uk/personal/ibf/non.ps>, Nottingham 1997
- [11] Fontaine, J.-M.: Un résultat de Sen sur les automorphismes de corps locaux. Séminaire Delange-Pisot-Poitou, Paris (1969–1970) exposé 6
- [12] Iwasawa, K.: On solvable extensions of algebraic number fields. *Ann. Math.* **58**, 548–572(1953)
- [13] Johnson, D.L.: The group of formal power series under substitution. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **45**, 298–302(1988)
- [14] Klopsch, B.: Normal subgroups and automorphisms of substitution groups of formal power series. Preprint, Oxford 1997
- [15] Laubie, F.: Sur la ramification des extensions de Lie. *Comp. Math.* **98**, 253–262(1985)
- [16] Laubie, F.: Extensions de Lie et groupes d'automorphismes de corps locaux. *Comp. Math.* **67**, 165–189(1988)
- [17] Laubie, F., Saine, M.: Ramification of automorphisms of $k((t))$. *J. Number Theory* **63**, 143–145(1997)
- [18] Leedham-Green, C.R., Plesken, W., Klaas, G.: Linear pro- p -groups of finite width. Preprint, Aachen–London 1997
- [19] Li, H.-Ch.: p -adic periodic points and Sen's theorem. *J. Number Theory* **56**, 309–318(1996)
- [20] Lubin, J.: Non-archimedean dynamical systems. *Comp. Math.* **94**, 321–346(1994)
- [21] Lubotzky, A., Shalev, A.: On some Λ -analytic pro- p -groups. *Israel J. Math.* **85**, 307–337(1994)
- [22] Lubotzky, A., Wilson, J.S.: An embedding theorem for profinite groups. *Arch. Math.* **42**, 397–399(1984)
- [23] Sen, Sh.: On automorphisms of local fields. *Ann. Math.* **90**, 33–46(1969)
- [24] Sen, Sh.: Ramification in p -adic Lie extensions. *Invent. Math.* **17**, 44–50(1972)
- [25] Wintenberger, J.-P.: Automorphismes et extensions galoisiennes de corps locaux. Thèse de 3 cycle, Grenoble 1978

- [26] Wintenberger, J.-P.: Extensions de Lie et groupes d'automorphismes des corps locaux de caractéristique p . C. R. Acad. Sc. **288** série A, 477-479(1979)
- [27] Wintenberger, J.-P.: Extensions abéliennes et groupes d'automorphismes des corps locaux. C. R. Acad. Sc. **290** série A, 201-203(1980)
- [28] Wintenberger, J.-P.: Le corps des normes de certaines extensions infinies des corps locaux; applications. Ann. Sci. E.N.S., 4 série **16**, 59-89(1983)
- [29] York, I. O.: The group of formal power series under substitution. Ph. D. Thesis, Nottingham 1990

Department of Mathematics
University of Nottingham
NG7 2RD Nottingham England